Exhibit 14

United States District Court Eastern District of Wisconsin

Avery v. Manitowoc County 04 C 986



Video Deposition of Andrew Colborn

Recorded 10/13/2005 in Manitowoc, WI 4:06 pm - 4:27 pm, 22 mins. elapsed

Magne-Script

(414) 352-5450

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

			1 (Pages 1 to 4)
	Page 1		Page 3
	Witness Andrew Colborn Thursday 10/13/2005 at 09:00 by: Jeff Joseph Nash, Spinlder, Grimstad & McCracken 201 East Waldo Boulevard Manitowoc, WI Caption: Avery v. Manitowoc County Case No.: 04 C 986 Venue: United States District Court Eastern District of Wisconsin	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Raymond J. Pollen Crivello, Carlson & Mentkowski, S.C. 710 N. Plankinton Ave. #500 Milwaukee, WI 53203 On behalf of Tom Kocourek and Manitowoc County John F. Mayer Nash, Spindler, Grimstad & McCracken 201 East Waldo Boulevard Manitowoc, WI 54220 On behalf of Tom Kocourek Also Present: Steven Avery
		24 25	
	Page 2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES	1	INDEX
2	Walter F. Kelly	2	EXAMINATION BY PAGE NO.
3	Walter F. Kelly, S.C.	3	Mr. Glynn
4 5	700 W. Michigan St. #500	4 5	Mr. Bascom
5	Milwaukee, WI 53233 On behalf of the Plaintiff	6	(There were no exhibits marked) (The sealed original transcript was sent to Mr. Kelly)
7	On ochan of the Flamuii	7	(The sealed original transcript was sent to Wir. Kerry)
8	Stephen M. Glynn	8	EXAMINATION
9	Glynn, Fitzgerald & Albee, S.C.	9	BY MR. GLYNN:
10	526 E. Wisconsin Ave.	10	Q Sergeant Colborn, my name is Steve Glynn. I'm going
11	Milwaukee, WI 53202	11	to ask you a few questions. I'm here, along with Walt
12	On behalf of the Plaintiff	12	Kelly, on behalf of Steve Avery. First, you have in
13	a a	13	front of you a document that doesn't bear a sticker,
14	Claude J. Covelli	14	but I'll represent to you that that's a photocopy of
15	Boardman, Suhr, Curry & Field	15 16	Exhibit 138 that's been earlier marked in these
16 17	1 S. Pinckney St. #410, PO Box 927 Madison, WI 53701-0927	17	proceedings, okay? A Yes, sir.
18	On behalf of Denis Vogel and Manitowoc County	18	Q Have you had a chance to look at that document today?
19	on behalf of Delits voget and Maintowoo County	19	A Yes.
20	Timothy A. Bascom	20	Q Have you seen it before today?
21	Bascom, Budish & Ceman, S.C.	21	A Yes.
22	2600 N. Mayfair Rd. #1140	22	Q Can you tell me when the last time before today is
23	Wauwatosa, WI 53226-1308	23	that you saw that?
24	On behalf of Manitowoc County	24	A I believe when I penned it, when I authored it.
25		25	Q Okay. And from that time until today, you don't think

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

	1			
	Page 5			Page 7
1	you've seen it?	1		would be a written hard copy of why that prisoner was
	A I take that back. I had a Thursday, I believe, of	2		on a suicide watch and what he was doing during the
3	last week, or Friday of last week, I was shown this	3		course of his day.
4	document by Amy Doyle.	4	Q	•
_	Q As part of an interview with her?	5	-	But outside of jail business, no, there's no log.
6	A Yes.	6	O	
7	Q Essentially prepping you for testimony here?	7	`	book, anything like that?
8	A Yes.	8	Α	No. I usually keep my appointments in my head, and I
9	Q Okay. Did she show you anything else besides this	9		don't keep a diary or a journal.
10	document?	10	Q	Okay. You've gone over what is Exhibit 138
11	A I don't recall. I don't believe so.	11	À	Yes, sir.
12	Q Okay. Well, let me ask a couple of background	12	Q	today and earlier, correct?
13	questions and then we'll go into the document. And,	13	À	Yes, sir.
14	actually, let me start with the first sentence of the	14	Q	It describes you receiving a telephone call from
15	document because that's part of the background. It	15		someone who identifies himself as a detective,
16	says that in 1994 or 1995, you were working as a	16		correct?
17	corrections officer in the Manitowoc County jail,	17	A	Yes.
18	correct?	18	Q	And am I correct in understanding that at the time you
19	A Yes, sir.	19		wrote this memo, which is September 12, 2003, you
20	Q How long had you been working as a corrections officer	20		could not recall with certainty what law enforcement
21	in the jail?	21		agency that detective was associated with?
22	A I was hired in January or February of 1992, so roughly	22	A	That's correct.
23	two or three years I had been employed as a	23	Q	Do you I hear your machine clicking. Does that
24	corrections officer in the jail.	24		mean anything to you?
25	Q Prior to that time, had you had any job connected with	25	A	I'm okay.
	Page 6			Page 8
1	the jail?	1	O	Okay. I'm just going to go ahead, operating on the
2	A Prior to 1992?	2	~	theory that if your machine is going off and it
	Q Yes, sir.	3		matters, you'll tell us.
4	A No, sir.	4	Α	Yes, sir.
	Q Had you had any law enforcement job prior to that?	5	Q	·
6	A No, sir.	6	À	-
7	Q Okay. So in the time period that's discussed in this	7	Q	<u>-</u>
8	memo, which is '94 and '95, do you recall whether you	8	•	"receiving a telephone call in the central control
9	were in the custom or practice of keeping notes in a	9		area." What is that? Part of the jail?
10	log book, in a memo book, in any data entry form?	10	Α	
11	A Prior to this?	11	Q	·
12	Q At this time	12	`	Lenk?
13	A At this time.	13	Α	Yes, sir.
14	Qin '94 and '95.	14	Q	·
15	A There was a computer daily log that you typed	15	À	•
16	significant events that occurred in the jail, but it	16	Q	Do you know if you've seen that report?
17	was a log that pertained specifically to the jail. I	17	A	No, sir.
18	did not keep a written notebook or notes of any kind	18	Q	Okay. Have you discussed this matter with him, I
19	in the capacity as a corrections officer.	19		assume?
20	Q Okay. So short of this computer entry which would	20	Α	The fact
21	have been intended to relate to activities in the	21		MR. BASCOM: Object to the form. Vague as
22	jail, you did not record events that occurred at your	22		to time.
23	work; is that correct?	23		BY MR. GLYNN:
	A Only events that were occurring in the jail. Like,	24	Q	3 3 1 3, 3
25	you may have a prisoner on a suicide watch. There	25		conversation with Lieutenant Lenk about the matter

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 414-352-5450 Case 1:19-cv-00484-BHL Filed 03/03/20 Page 4 of 12 Document 120-14

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

					3 (Pages 9 to 12)
		Page 9			Page 11
1		that is discussed in this statement?	1	0	Okay. And what that person in custody had said was
2	Α	Yes, sir.	2	V	that he had committed an assault in Manitowoc County
3		And do you recall in that conversation learning that	3		and someone else was in jail for it, correct?
4	~	at least he had the belief that this was related to	4	Α	Yes, sir.
5		Brown County or at least thought it might have been	5	0	And that much you're pretty sure of, correct?
6		related to Brown County?	6	Ā	Yes.
7	Α	He never relayed that information to me, so I don't	7	0	I mean, that's a significant event.
8		know.	8	À	Right. That's what's stood out in my mind.
9	О			0	Sure. And you knew by September 12, 2003 that Steven
10	`	ask you to take a look at that. Have you seen that	10	`	Avery is someone who had been in jail for an assault
11		before, or do we need to give you a chance to read it?	11		that he had been convicted of, correct? Had been in
12	Α	I've never seen Lieutenant Lenk's statement, no.	12		jail.
13	Q		13	A	Yes.
14		MR. GLYNN: Then let's just go off the	14	Q	He was recently released by then.
15		record and give him a chance to read it.	15	A	Yes. Mm-hmm.
16		REPORTER: Off the record.	16	Q	And you knew that someone else had committed that
17		(Off the record 4:12 - 4:13)	17		crime, Gregory Allen; that was in the media as well,
18		REPORTER: Back on the record.	18		correct?
19		BY MR. GLYNN:	19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Have you had a chance now to read Exhibit 125?	20	Q	And so one of the things you believed was that there
21	Α	Yes, sir.	21		may be a relationship between the Gregory Allen matter
22	Q	5	22		and this telephone call, correct?
23		person from whom you received the telephone call was a	23		MR. BASCOM: Are you talking about 2003?
24		detective and that you thought he might have been from	24		MR. GLYNN: In 2003.
25		Brown County?	25	Q	Correct?
		Page 10			Page 12
1	A	Actually, I thought I had told Lieutenant Lenk that I	1	A	Yes. That Yes, sir.
2		thought the individual was from Sheboygan County, but	2	Q	Sure. And, I mean, the fact of the matter is also,
3		I wasn't sure.	3		again, as reported in the media, Mr. Allen, at the
4	Q	Okay. So as of today, you know, here we are in	4		time of Mr. Avery's being released by the court, had
5		October 2005, you're not sure what you told Lieutenant	5		been convicted of a sexual assault in Brown County and
6		Lenk back in 2003 with respect to the county?	6		sentenced to prison, correct?
7	A	That's correct, sir.	7	A	That whole portion of it I wasn't aware of.
8	Q		8	Q	Okay.
9		this person's call was a statement apparently made to	9	A	I am now. It's '05. At the time of '03, I really
1 ()		the caller by a nerson who was in the caller's	10		wasn't can't say I was, like, following the case.
10		the caller by a person who was in the caller's			
11		custody; is that correct?	11		So I knew the name that you mentioned had come up, but
11 12	A	custody; is that correct? You know, we're going back to '94 or '95.	11 12		So I knew the name that you mentioned had come up, but I didn't know where he was incarcerated; if he was
11 12 13	Q	custody; is that correct? You know, we're going back to '94 or '95. Sure.	11 12 13	0	So I knew the name that you mentioned had come up, but I didn't know where he was incarcerated; if he was incarcerated, what his status was.
11 12 13 14	Q A	custody; is that correct? You know, we're going back to '94 or '95. Sure. I'm a little gray on exactly	11 12 13 14	Q	So I knew the name that you mentioned had come up, but I didn't know where he was incarcerated; if he was incarcerated, what his status was. Have you seen any of the reports of the district
11 12 13 14 15	Q	custody; is that correct? You know, we're going back to '94 or '95. Sure. I'm a little gray on exactly And you can use your own report, Exhibit 138, to	11 12 13 14 15	Q	So I knew the name that you mentioned had come up, but I didn't know where he was incarcerated; if he was incarcerated, what his status was. Have you seen any of the reports of the district attorney's office indicating that it would not be
11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q	custody; is that correct? You know, we're going back to '94 or '95. Sure. I'm a little gray on exactly And you can use your own report, Exhibit 138, to refresh your recollection if that helps you.	11 12 13 14 15 16	Q	So I knew the name that you mentioned had come up, but I didn't know where he was incarcerated; if he was incarcerated, what his status was. Have you seen any of the reports of the district attorney's office indicating that it would not be prosecuting Mr. Allen for the crime on which Mr. Avery
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A Q	custody; is that correct? You know, we're going back to '94 or '95. Sure. I'm a little gray on exactly And you can use your own report, Exhibit 138, to refresh your recollection if that helps you. I don't know if the pers I gathered, yes, that they	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q	So I knew the name that you mentioned had come up, but I didn't know where he was incarcerated; if he was incarcerated, what his status was. Have you seen any of the reports of the district attorney's office indicating that it would not be prosecuting Mr. Allen for the crime on which Mr. Avery had been exonerated due to the fact that a statute of
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A Q	custody; is that correct? You know, we're going back to '94 or '95. Sure. I'm a little gray on exactly And you can use your own report, Exhibit 138, to refresh your recollection if that helps you. I don't know if the pers I gathered, yes, that they had someone in custody. I don't know if this person	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q	So I knew the name that you mentioned had come up, but I didn't know where he was incarcerated; if he was incarcerated, what his status was. Have you seen any of the reports of the district attorney's office indicating that it would not be prosecuting Mr. Allen for the crime on which Mr. Avery had been exonerated due to the fact that a statute of limitations had run, and in any event, Mr. Allen was
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q	custody; is that correct? You know, we're going back to '94 or '95. Sure. I'm a little gray on exactly And you can use your own report, Exhibit 138, to refresh your recollection if that helps you. I don't know if the pers I gathered, yes, that they had someone in custody. I don't know if this person had commented directly to the person who called me or	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		So I knew the name that you mentioned had come up, but I didn't know where he was incarcerated; if he was incarcerated, what his status was. Have you seen any of the reports of the district attorney's office indicating that it would not be prosecuting Mr. Allen for the crime on which Mr. Avery had been exonerated due to the fact that a statute of limitations had run, and in any event, Mr. Allen was serving a 60 year sentence?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q	custody; is that correct? You know, we're going back to '94 or '95. Sure. I'm a little gray on exactly And you can use your own report, Exhibit 138, to refresh your recollection if that helps you. I don't know if the pers I gathered, yes, that they had someone in custody. I don't know if this person had commented directly to the person who called me or had commented to other people within that jurisdiction	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A	So I knew the name that you mentioned had come up, but I didn't know where he was incarcerated; if he was incarcerated, what his status was. Have you seen any of the reports of the district attorney's office indicating that it would not be prosecuting Mr. Allen for the crime on which Mr. Avery had been exonerated due to the fact that a statute of limitations had run, and in any event, Mr. Allen was serving a 60 year sentence? I can't recall viewing
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q A	custody; is that correct? You know, we're going back to '94 or '95. Sure. I'm a little gray on exactly And you can use your own report, Exhibit 138, to refresh your recollection if that helps you. I don't know if the pers I gathered, yes, that they had someone in custody. I don't know if this person had commented directly to the person who called me or had commented to other people within that jurisdiction and this eventually got to my caller.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q	So I knew the name that you mentioned had come up, but I didn't know where he was incarcerated; if he was incarcerated, what his status was. Have you seen any of the reports of the district attorney's office indicating that it would not be prosecuting Mr. Allen for the crime on which Mr. Avery had been exonerated due to the fact that a statute of limitations had run, and in any event, Mr. Allen was serving a 60 year sentence? I can't recall viewing Recall any of that?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q	custody; is that correct? You know, we're going back to '94 or '95. Sure. I'm a little gray on exactly And you can use your own report, Exhibit 138, to refresh your recollection if that helps you. I don't know if the pers I gathered, yes, that they had someone in custody. I don't know if this person had commented directly to the person who called me or had commented to other people within that jurisdiction and this eventually got to my caller. But the detective indicated that there was a person in	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q	So I knew the name that you mentioned had come up, but I didn't know where he was incarcerated; if he was incarcerated, what his status was. Have you seen any of the reports of the district attorney's office indicating that it would not be prosecuting Mr. Allen for the crime on which Mr. Avery had been exonerated due to the fact that a statute of limitations had run, and in any event, Mr. Allen was serving a 60 year sentence? I can't recall viewing Recall any of that? no, viewing any reports from the district
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q A	custody; is that correct? You know, we're going back to '94 or '95. Sure. I'm a little gray on exactly And you can use your own report, Exhibit 138, to refresh your recollection if that helps you. I don't know if the pers I gathered, yes, that they had someone in custody. I don't know if this person had commented directly to the person who called me or had commented to other people within that jurisdiction and this eventually got to my caller.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q	So I knew the name that you mentioned had come up, but I didn't know where he was incarcerated; if he was incarcerated, what his status was. Have you seen any of the reports of the district attorney's office indicating that it would not be prosecuting Mr. Allen for the crime on which Mr. Avery had been exonerated due to the fact that a statute of limitations had run, and in any event, Mr. Allen was serving a 60 year sentence? I can't recall viewing Recall any of that?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A Q A	custody; is that correct? You know, we're going back to '94 or '95. Sure. I'm a little gray on exactly And you can use your own report, Exhibit 138, to refresh your recollection if that helps you. I don't know if the pers I gathered, yes, that they had someone in custody. I don't know if this person had commented directly to the person who called me or had commented to other people within that jurisdiction and this eventually got to my caller. But the detective indicated that there was a person in custody who had made a statement about a Manitowoc County offense, correct?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A	So I knew the name that you mentioned had come up, but I didn't know where he was incarcerated; if he was incarcerated, what his status was. Have you seen any of the reports of the district attorney's office indicating that it would not be prosecuting Mr. Allen for the crime on which Mr. Avery had been exonerated due to the fact that a statute of limitations had run, and in any event, Mr. Allen was serving a 60 year sentence? I can't recall viewing Recall any of that? no, viewing any reports from the district attorney's office. No, sir.

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

					4 (Pages 13 to 16)
		Page 13			Page 15
1		that I mean television, radio, newspapers; talk around	1		transferred, and sometimes people give you a number in
2		the sheriff's department; talk in your own household,	2		advance of the attempt to transfer and say in case we
3		anything.	3		lose each other or the call doesn't go through, the
4	A	Certainly in the media.	4		number to call is such and such. Is that the way you
5	Q	Okay.	5		were doing it?
6	A	I mean, there was a lot of media coverage on this	6	٨	Yes.
7	А	case, and certainly I probably got most of the	7	O	Okay. So you gave the person the number and then
8		information that I knew about the case through the	8	Ų	attempted to transfer the call. And do you know
9		media.	9		whether the call went through to the other detective?
10	O	Sure. I mean, you yourself hadn't had any involvement	10	Α	I don't know. I didn't hear somebody pick up. But as
11	~	in the Avery prosecution or investigation, correct?	11	11	soon as the phone rang, I would have hung it up.
12	Α	I wasn't even in this country when that occurred.	12	O	Okay. Because at that stage, again, you've given the
13	Q	Sure.	13	~	person the contact information if he chooses to follow
14	-	I was stationed oversees in the military.	14		up, correct?
15	0	And when you came back and were involved in '94 and	15	Α	Yes, sir.
16	~	'95 as a corrections officer, you were not otherwise	16	0	
17		working as a deputy sheriff, correct?	17	•	detective bureau to find out whether they had received
18	Α	No, sir.	18		such a call?
19	О		19	Α	No, sir.
20		conviction investigative efforts with respect to Mr.	20	Q	Or did you ever hear any feedback from anybody about
21		Avery's case.	21	•	
22	A	No, sir.	22	A	No, sir.
23	Q	So your sources of information would necessarily have	23	Q	whether they had gotten such a call?
24		been media-type sources, correct?	24	A	
25	A	Correct.	25	Q	Okay. So that's what's going on in 2003, correct?
		Page 14			Page 16
1	Q	Okay. At any rate, you recognized this was	1	Α	No, the call
2	~	significant enough that you should forward that call	2	Q	I'm sorry. That's what's going on in '94/'95.
3		that was coming in from another detective to someone	3	À	
4		in the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department to take	4	Q	You then, in 2003, following the publicity that we've
5		it further, correct?	5		already discussed relating to Mr. Allen and Mr. Avery,
6	A	Yes, sir.	6		and you're concerned that perhaps the caller that was
7	Q	It wasn't within your jurisdiction to take it any	7		calling was speaking about Mr. Allen and Mr. Avery,
8		further, correct?	8		true?
9	A	No, sir.	9	A	I was wondering about that, yes.
10	Q	And even if you had wanted to, you didn't have the	10	Q	Sure. You brought that up to someone else, correct?
11		legal authority under your job duties to do that.	11	A	Yes, sir.
12	A	Correct.	12	Q	And to whom did you bring that up?
13	Q		13	A	To Lieutenant Lenk.
14		telephone number for a Manitowoc County Sheriff's	14	Q	And you and Lieutenant Lenk had a conversation about
15		office detective, correct, or the detective bureau?	15		it?
16	A	Right. I believe I would have just given him that	16		Yes, sir.
17		number in case I'm sure I tried to transfer the	17	Q	And in that conversation, is it safe to say that you
18	_	call.	18		told him what's reflected in Exhibit 138?
19	_	Okay.	19		Yes, sir.
20	A	Because that would have been the protocol that was	20 21	Q	There was also a conversation that followed that in
21 22		required, you know, as my job. But I got in the habit	22		which you spoke to Sheriff Petersen, correct? Yes, sir.
23		of, since that's sometimes iffy, I would have given him the number of who I was trying to transfer him to.	23	A O	And do you recall that Lieutenant Lenk was there as
24	Q	· -	24	_	well?
25	V	of us at one time or another have had their calls	25	A	When I spoke with Sheriff Petersen?
20		of all at one time of another mave had then early			

Magne-Script Video Court Reporters 4
Case 1:19-cv-00484-BHL Filed 03/03/20 Page 6 of 12 Document 120-14

414-352-5450

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

					5 (Pages 17 to 20)
		Page 17			Page 19
1	Q	Yes. Was he or not; do you know?	1	(conversations with Sheriff Petersen about this subject
2	Α	No, he was not.	2	1	matter?
3	Q	He was not. Okay. Who all was there when you talked	3	A	No.
4		to Sheriff Petersen; do you remember?	4	Q	How about any meetings with District Attorney Rohrer
5	A	I don't recall who was in the room. I remember coming	5	ä	about this subject matter, and again, I mean the
6		into work. Sheriff Petersen was downstairs where our	6	5	subject matter of Exhibit 138 that we've been
7		patrol division is, and I got the impression he was	7	(discussing.
8		waiting for me to come into work. There were other	8	A	No, I've never had a meeting with the district
9		people coming in and out of the room, but I don't	9	á	attorney about this.
10		recall who.	10		Okay. How about an assistant district attorney named
11	Q	Do you know what it is that gave you the impression he	11		Mike Griesbach?
12		was waiting for you? I mean, did he come right up to	12		Never had a meeting with Mike Griesbach about this.
13		you or ask you to come with him or something?	13		have you ever had any conversations with anybody else,
14	A	I usually don't have contact with the sheriff, you	14		other than Sheriff Petersen and Lieutenant Lenk, about
15		know. So that's what gave me the impression he was	15		the subject matter of Exhibit 138? Ever discuss it
16		waiting for me.	16		with anyone else, any other officers, any friends, any
17	Q	3, 11			family?
18		I mean, did you say something to him? Did he say	18		Not that I can specifically recall. I may have
19		something to you?	19		mentioned it to other people, but I don't recall doing
20	A	No, he initiated the conversation by saying he had	20		it.
21		spoken with Lieutenant Lenk and he felt that it would	21	-	That is, as you're sitting here today, you don't have
22		be in the best interests of Lieutenant Lenk and myself	22		any specific recollection of discussing it with
23		and the sheriff's department, I would suppose, that if	23		anybody else.
24		I was to give him a statement on the gist of our	24		No, sir.
25		conversation or what we had discussed. And I asked	25	Q	But you're not ruling out the possibility that you may
		Page 18			Page 20
1		for clarification on that, you know. And he goes,	1		have discussed it.
2		"Well, what you discussed about a telephone call that	2		No, I'm not ruling out the possibility that I may have
3		you received while you were working in the jail." And	3		discussed it with someone else, but I can't
4		I said okay. And before I went out on patrol, I	4		specifically tell you names of people I may have
5		provided this statement.	5		mentioned this to.
6	Q	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	6	Q	Okay.
7		then?	7		MR. GLYNN: I think that's all I have.
8	Α	,	8		That's all, thanks.
9		there's some times I don't get out on the road until	9		MR. BASCOM: I just have one question
10		two, three o'clock depending on what sort of	10		because I'm confused about the testimony
11	0	administrative or office duties I have.	11		concerning Sheboygan County versus Brown County.
12	Q	J 11 & 1	12		And I wasn't sure if I heard you correctly. Let
13		that Exhibit 138, you see a time of 1330 hours. Does	13		me just ask you this question.
14		1:30 seem like about an appropriate time?	14	т	E X A M I N A T I O N BY MR. BASCOM:
15 16		Yes. Sure. And that would have been immediately after your	15 16		You said "Sheboygan County, but I'm not sure." And my
17	Ų	conversation with Sheriff Petersen?	17		question is, is it that you heard that the detective
18	٨	No. I believe my conversation with Sheriff Petersen	18		question is, is it that you heard that the detective you think the detective that called you was from
19	A	would have been like at quarter to twelve or 12:00.	19		Sheboygan County but you're not sure, or that you told
20	Q	_	20		the Lieutenant that you thought the guy was from
21	Ų	within an hour or two.	21		Sheboygan County but you're not sure? Do you see the
22	A		22		difference between those two questions?
23	Q		23		Sure.
24	A		24	0	And I'm not sure which way your answer was aiming.
25	0		25	•	You know, I can't recall the specifics of my
ì	~	1 111 11511t. 1 111d do you recuit dity futulet		. 1	1 0 th mile 11, 1 can the recall the specifies of my

6 (Page 21)

		6 (Page 21)
	Page 21	
1	conversation with Lieutenant Lenk. I may have said he	
2	was either from Sheboygan or Brown County, I don't	
3	know, because I don't know. And I don't know why	
4	those two jurisdictions stand out in my head other	
5	than that is the area or outside jurisdictions that we	
6	have the most contact with, you know, being centered	
7	between the two of them. You know, I don't know if	
8	that answers your question	
9	Q Well, as we sit here today	
10	A as it pertains to Lieutenant Lenk, I'm	
11	Q No, as we sit here today	
12	A Okay.	
13	Q do you have a sense or a feeling that the guy was	
14	from Brown County or Sheboygan County, or don't you	
15	know?	
16	A I really don't know, sir.	
17	Q That's fine.	
18	MR. BASCOM: That's all I have.	
19	MR. GLYNN: Nothing else.	
20	MR. BASCOM: Great. Thanks.	
21	REPORTER: Okay. There being no further	
22	questions, this deposition is concluded at 4:27	
23	p.m. Off the record.	

\mathbf{A}	В	capacity 6:19	copy 7:1	detective 7:15,21
activities 6:21	back 5:2 9:18	Caption 1:10	correct 5:18 6:23	9:24 10:22 14:3
administrative	10:6,12 13:15	Carlson 3:2	7:12,16,18,22	14:13,15,15
18:11	background 5:12	case 1:11 12:10	10:7,11,24 11:3	15:9,17 20:17
advance 15:2	5:15	13:7,8,21 14:17	11:5,11,18,22,25	20:18
agency 7:21	Bascom 2:20,21	15:2	12:6 13:11,17	diary 7:6,9
ahead 8:1	4:4 8:21 11:23	Ceman 2:21	13:24,25 14:5,8	difference 20:22
aiming 20:24	20:9,15 21:18	centered 21:6	14:12,15 15:14	directly 10:19
Albee 2:9	21:20	central 8:8	15:25 16:10,21	discuss 19:15
Allen 11:17,21	bear 4:13	certainly 13:4,7	corrections 5:17	discussed 6:7 8:18
12:3,16,18 16:5	behalf 2:6,12,18	certainty 7:20	5:20,24 6:19	9:1 16:5 17:25
16:7		chance 4:18 9:11	13:16	18:2 20:1,3
	2:24 3:5,11 4:12 belief 9:4	9:15,20	correctly 20:12	discussing 19:7
Amy 5:4 Andrew 1:2	believe 4:24 5:2	chooses 15:13	country 13:12	19:22
		clarification 18:1	county 1:10 2:18	district 1:12,13
answer 20:24	5:11 14:16	Claude 2:14	2:24 3:5 5:17	12:14,22 19:4,8
answers 21:8	18:18	clicking 7:23	9:5,6,25 10:2,6	19:10
anybody 15:16,20	believed 11:20	Colborn 1:2 4:10	10:24 11:2 12:5	division 17:7
19:13,23	best 17:22	come 12:11 17:8	14:4,14 20:11	document 4:13,18
Anytime 8:24,24	Boardman 2:15	17:12,13	20:11,16,19,21	5:4,10,13,15
apparently 10:9	book 6:10,10 7:7	coming 14:3 17:5	21:2,14,14	doing 7:2 15:5
appointments 7:8	Boulevard 1:7 3:9	17:9	couple 5:12	19:19
appropriate	Box 2:16	commander 18:8	course 7:3	downstairs 17:6
18:14	bring 16:12	commander 18.8	court 1:12 12:4	Doyle 5:4
area 8:9 21:5	brought 16:10	10:20	Covelli 2:14	due 12:17
asked 17:25	Brown 9:5,6,25	committed 11:2	coverage 13:6	duties 14:11 18:6
assault 11:2,10	12:5 20:11 21:2	11:16	crime 11:17 12:16	18:11
12:5	21:14	computer 6:15,20	Crivello 3:2	10.11
assistant 19:10	Budish 2:21	concerned 16:6	Curry 2:15	$\overline{\mathbf{E}}$
associated 7:21	bureau 14:15		custody 10:11,18	E 2:1,1,10 4:1,8
assume 8:19	15:17	concerning 20:11 concluded 21:22	10:23 11:1	20:14
attempt 15:2	business 7:5			earlier 4:15 7:12
attempted 15:8	C	confused 20:10	custom 6:9	East 1:7 3:9
attorney 19:4,9		connected 5:25 17:17	D	East 1.7 3.3 Eastern 1:13
19:10	C 1:11 2:1	contact 15:13	$\overline{\mathbf{D}4:1}$	efforts 13:20
attorney's 12:15	calendar 7:6		daily 6:15	either 21:2
12:23	call 7:14 8:8 9:23	17:14 21:6	data 6:10	employed 5:23
authored 4:24	10:9 11:22 14:2	control 8:8	day 7:3 17:17	enforcement 6:5
authority 14:11	14:18 15:3,4,8,9	conversation 8:25	18:24	7:20
Ave 2:10 3:3	15:18,23 16:1	9:3 16:14,17,20	Denis 2:18	entry 6:10,20
Avery 1:10 3:13	18:2	17:20,25 18:17	department 13:2	Essentially 5:7
4:12 11:10	called 10:19 20:18	18:18,24 21:1	14:4 17:23	event 11:7 12:18
12:16 13:11	caller 10:10,21	conversations	depending 18:10	events 6:16,22,24
16:5,7	16:6	19:1,13	deposition 21:22	eventually 10:21
	caller's 10:10	convicted 11:11		· ·
Avery's 12:4		12.5	L denuty 13·17	evactly 10.1/
Avery's 12:4 13:21 aware 12:7	calling 14:13 16:7 calls 14:25	12:5 conviction 13:20	deputy 13:17 describes 7:14	exactly 10:14 EXAMINATION

4:2	going 4:10 8:1,2	investigation	Lenk 8:12,25 9:22	meeting 19:8,12
Exhibit 4:15 7:10	10:12 15:25	13:11	10:1,6 16:13,14	meetings 19:4
9:9,20 10:15	16:2	investigative	16:23 17:21,22	memo 6:8,10 7:6
16:18 18:13	gotten 15:23	13:20	19:14 21:1,10	7:19
19:6,15	gray 10:14	involved 13:15	Lenk's 9:12	mentioned 12:11
exhibits 4:5	great 8:6 21:20	involvement	let's 9:14	19:19 20:5
exonerated 12:17	Gregory 11:17,21	13:10,19	Lieutenant 8:11	Mentkowski 3:2
exolici aleu 12.17	Griesbach 19:11	13.10,19	8:25 9:12,22	Michigan 2:4
$\overline{\mathbf{F}}$	19:12	- J	10:1,5 16:13,14	Mike 19:11,12
F 2:2,3 3:7	Grimstad 1:6 3:8	J 2:14 3:1	16:23 17:21,22	military 13:14
fact 8:20 12:2,17	guy 20:20 21:13	jail 5:17,21,24 6:1	19:14 20:20	Milwaukee 2:5,11
family 19:17	guy 20.20 21.13	6:16,17,22,24	21:1,10	3:4
February 5:22	H	7:5 8:9 11:3,10	limitations 12:18	mind 11:8
feedback 15:20	habit 14:21	11:12 18:3	little 10:14	Mm-hmm 11:15
feeling 21:13	happened 17:17	James 9:22	log 6:10,15,17 7:5	moment 12:25
felt 17:21	hard 7:1	January 5:22	long 5:20	
Field 2:15	head 7:8 21:4	Jeff 1:4	look 4:18 9:10	N
find 15:17	hear 7:23 15:10	job 5:25 6:5 14:11	18:12	N 2:1,22 3:3 4:1,8
fine 21:17	15:20	14:21	lose 15:3	4:8 20:14,14
first 4:12 5:14	heard 20:12,17	John 3:7	lot 13:6	name 4:10 12:11
Fitzgerald 2:9	helps 10:16	Joseph 1:4		named 19:10
follow 15:13	hired 5:22	journal 7:9	M	names 20:4
followed 16:20	hour 18:21	jurisdiction 10:20	M 2:8 4:8 20:14	Nash 1:6 3:8
following 12:10	hours 18:13	14:7	machine 7:23 8:2	necessarily 13:23
16:4	household 13:2	jurisdictions 21:4	Madison 2:17	need 9:11
form 6:10 8:21	hung 15:11	21:5	Manitowoc 1:8,10	never 9:7,12 19:8
forward 14:2			2:18,24 3:5,10	19:12
Friday 5:3	<u> </u>	<u>K</u>	5:17 10:23 11:2	newspapers 13:1
friends 19:16	identifies 7:15	keep 6:18 7:8,9	14:4,14	noon 18:8
front 4:13	iffy 14:22	keeping 6:9	marked 4:5,15	notebook 6:18
further 14:5,8	immediately	Kelly 2:2,3 4:6,12	9:9	notes 6:9,18
18:25 21:21	18:16,20	kind 6:18	matter 8:18,25	number 14:14,17
	impression 17:7	knew 11:9,16	10:8 11:21 12:2	14:23 15:1,4,7
<u>G</u>	17:11,15	12:11 13:8	19:2,5,6,15	
gathered 10:17	incarcerated	know 8:16 9:8	matters 8:3	0
gist 17:24	12:12,13	10:4,12,17,18	Mayer 3:7	O 4:8 20:14
give 9:11,15 14:13	including 12:25	12:12 14:21	Mayfair 2:22	Object 8:21
15:1 17:24	indicated 10:22	15:8,10 17:1,11	McCracken 1:6	occurred 6:16,22
given 14:16,22	indicating 12:15	17:15 18:1,6	3:8	13:12
15:12	individual 10:2	20:25 21:3,3,3,6	mean 7:24 11:7	occurring 6:24
Glynn 2:8,9 4:3,9 4:10 8:23 9:14	information 9:7 13:8,23 15:13	21:7,7,15,16	12:2 13:1,6,10	October 10:5 offense 10:24
9:19 11:24 20:7	initiated 17:20	Kocourek 3:5,11	17:12,18 18:20	office 12:15,23
21:19	inquiries 15:16	L	19:5	14:15 18:11
go 5:13 8:1 9:14	intended 6:21	law 6:5 7:20	media 11:17 12:3	officer 5:17,20,24
15:3	interests 17:22	learning 9:3	12:25 13:4,6,9	6:19 13:16
goes 18:1	interests 17.22	legal 14:11	media-type 13:24	officers 19:16
300010.1				

okay 4:16,25 5:9	20:2	recognized 14:1	see 14:24 18:13	sources 13:23,24
5:12 6:7,20 7:10	post 13:19	recollection 10:16	20:21	speaking 16:7
7:25 8:1,5,18	practice 6:9	19:22	seen 4:20 5:1 8:16	specific 19:22
9:13 10:4,8 11:1	prepared 8:11	record 6:22 9:15	9:10,12 12:14	specifically 6:17
12:8 13:5 14:1	prepared 5:7	9:16,17,18	sense 21:13	19:18 20:4
14:19 15:7,12	Present 3:13	21:23	sent 4:6	specifics 20:25
15:25 17:3 18:4	pretty 11:5	reflected 16:18	sentence 5:14	Spindler 3:8
18:20,23 19:10	prior 5:25 6:2,5	refresh 10:16	12:19	Spinder 1:6
20:6 21:12,21	6:11 8:24	relate 6:21	sentenced 12:6	spoke 16:21,25
operating 8:1	prison 12:6	related 9:4,6	September 7:19	spoker 17:21
original 4:6	prison 12.0 prisoner 6:25 7:1	relating 16:5	11:9	St 2:4,16
outside 7:5 21:5	private 7:6	relationship	Sergeant 4:10	stage 15:12
oversees 13:14	1 -	11:21	serving 12:19	stage 13.12 stand 21:4
o'clock 18:10	probably 13:7	-	serving 12.19 sexual 12:5	stand 21.4 start 5:14
0 Clock 18.10	proceedings 4:16	relayed 9:7		
P	prosecuting 12:16	released 11:14 12:4	Sheboygan 10:2	statement 9:1,12 10:9,23 17:24
P 2:1,1	prosecution 13:11	-	20:11,16,19,21	/
PAGE 4:2	protocol 14:20	remember 17:4,5	21:2,14	18:5
part 5:5,15 8:9	provided 18:5	report 8:7,11,16	sheriff 13:17	States 1:12
patrol 17:7 18:4	publicity 16:4	10:15	16:21,25 17:4,6	stationed 13:14
	p.m 21:23	reported 12:3	17:14 18:17,18	status 12:13
patrolling 18:6	0	REPORTER 9:16	18:24 19:1,14	statute 12:17
penned 4:24		9:18 21:21	sheriff's 13:2 14:4	Stephen 2:8
people 10:20 15:1	quarter 18:19	reports 12:14,22	14:14 17:23	Steve 4:10,12
17:9 19:19 20:4	question 20:9,13	12:24	shift 18:8	Steven 3:13 11:9
period 6:7	20:17 21:8	represent 4:14	short 6:20	sticker 4:13
pers 10:17	questions 4:11	required 14:21	show 5:9 9:9	stood 11:8
person 9:23 10:10	5:13 20:22	respect 8:7 10:6	shown 5:3	subject 10:8 19:1
10:18,19,22	21:22	13:20	significant 6:16	19:5,6,15
11:1 15:7,13	R	right 8:7 11:8	11:7 14:2	Suhr 2:15
person's 10:9	$\frac{\mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{R}}$ 2:1	14:16 17:12	sir 4:17 5:19 6:3,4	suicide 6:25 7:2
pertained 6:17	-	18:25	6:6 7:11,13 8:4	suppose 17:23
pertains 21:10	radio 13:1	right-hand 18:12	8:10,13,17 9:2	sure 7:4 10:3,5,13
Petersen 16:21,25	rang 15:11	road 18:9	9:21 10:7 11:4	11:5,9 12:2
17:4,6 18:17,18	rate 10:8 14:1	Rohrer 19:4	12:1,23,25	13:10,13 14:17
18:24 19:1,14	Raymond 3:1	room 17:5,9	13:18,22 14:6,9	16:10 18:15
phone 15:11	Rd 2:22	roughly 5:22	15:15,19,22,24	20:12,16,19,21
photocopy 4:14	read 9:11,15,20	ruling 19:25 20:2	16:3,11,16,19,22	20:23,24
pick 15:10	really 12:9,24	run 12:18	18:22 19:24	S.C 2:3,9,21 3:2
Pinckney 2:16	21:16		21:16	
Plaintiff 2:6,12	recall 5:11 6:8	S	sit 21:9,11	T
Plankinton 3:3	7:20 8:14 9:3,22	S 2:1,16	sitting 19:21	T 4:8 20:14
PO 2:16	12:20,21 16:23	safe 16:17	somebody 15:10	take 5:2 9:10 14:4
Pollen 3:1	17:5,10 18:25	saw 4:23	soon 15:11	14:7
portion 12:7	19:18,19 20:25	saying 17:20	sorry 16:2	talk 13:1,2
18:12	received 9:23	says 5:16 8:7	sort 18:10	talked 17:3
possibility 19:25	15:17 18:3	sealed 4:6	source 12:25	talking 11:23
	receiving 7:14 8:8			
	I	I	l	I

12:24	understanding	<u> </u>	4:27 21:22	
telephone 7:14	7:18	$X = \frac{X}{X4:1,820:14}$		
8:8 9:23 11:22	United 1:12	A 4.1,8 20.14	5	
14:14 18:2	upper 18:12	Y	526 2:10	
television 13:1	use 10:15	yeah 18:22	53202 2:11	
tell 4:22 8:3 20:4	usually 7:8 17:14	year 12:19	53203 3:4	
telling 9:22		years 5:23	53226-1308 2:23	
testimony 5:7	V	years 5.25	53233 2:5	
20:10	v 1:10	#	53701-0927 2:17	
thanks 20:8 21:20	Vague 8:21	#1140 2:22	54220 3:10	
theory 8:2	Venue 1:12	#410 2:16		
things 11:20	versus 20:11	#500 2:4 3:3	6	
think 4:25 14:24	viewing 12:20,22		60 12:19	
20:7,18	Vogel 2:18	0		
thought 9:5,24		03 12:9	7	
10:1,2 20:20	<u>W</u>	04 1:11	700 2:4	
three 5:23 18:10	W 2:4	05 12:9	710 3:3	
Thursday 1:4 5:2	waiting 17:8,12	09:00 1:4	8	
time 4:22,25 5:25	17:16	1	8:00 18:8	
6:7,12,13 7:18	Waldo 1:7 3:9		0.00 10.0	
8:22 12:4,9	Walt 4:11	12:16	9	
14:25 18:6,13	Walter 2:2,3	1:30 18:14	927 2:16	
18:14	wanted 14:10	10/13/2005 1:4	94 6:8,14 10:12	
times 18:9	wasn't 10:3 12:7	12 7:19 11:9	13:15 16:2	
Timothy 2:20	12:10 13:12	12:00 18:19	95 6:8,14 10:12	
today 4:18,20,22	14:7 20:12	125 9:9,20	13:16 16:2	
4:25 7:12 8:24	watch 6:25 7:2	1330 18:13	986 1:11	
10:4 19:21 21:9	Wauwatosa 2:23	138 4:15 7:10		
21:11	way 15:4 20:24	10:15 16:18		
told 10:1,5 16:18	week 5:3,3	18:13 19:6,15		
20:19	went 15:9 18:4	1992 5:22 6:2		
Tom 3:5,11	we'll 5:13	1994 5:16 1995 5:16		
transcript 4:6	we're 10:12 we've 16:4 19:6	1993 3.10		
transfer 14:17,23		2		
15:2,8	WI 1:8 2:5,11,17 2:23 3:4,10	20 4:4		
transferred 15:1	Wisconsin 1:13	2003 7:19 10:6		
tried 14:17	2:10	11:9,23,24		
true 16:8	Witness 1:1	15:25 16:4		
trying 14:23	wondering 16:9	2005 10:5		
twelve 18:19	work 6:23 17:6,8	201 1:7 3:9		
two 5:23 18:10,21	worked 18:8	2600 2:22		
20:22 21:4,7	working 5:16,20			
typed 6:15	13:17 18:3	4		
U	written 6:18 7:1	4 4:3		
understand 14:24	wrote 7:19	4:12 9:17		
unuci stanu 17.27		4:13 9:17		
	l			